ETON SCHOOL
MEXICO
A NORD ANGLIA EDUCATION SCHOOL

WHO Historical – World Health Organization

1981 AIDS Crisis

Topic A: Combatting social stigma towards affected communities (LGBTQ+ population, sex workers, IV drug users)

Topic B: Ethical considerations in communication and education campaigns.

ETON SCHOOL
MEXICO
A NORD ANGLIA EDUCATION SCHOOL

Letter From the Chair

Honorable Delegates,

Welcome to the Historical World Health Organization Committee. We are Mariana Padilla and Nour Saffar, and it is both our honor and privilege to serve as your chairs for EMUN 2026. Across several nations, reports have surfaced of rare and severe illnesses affecting individuals from various communities. While the causes remain unknown, what is certain is our shared responsibility to respond with clarity, cooperation, and compassion.

This session calls upon each of you, as representatives of your respective nations, to engage in open dialogue and to work toward collective understanding. The WHO's purpose has always been to unite nations in the pursuit of health for all, regardless of geographic identity, or circumstance. Now, more than ever, that purpose must guide our discussions. Beyond science and medicine, our work requires empathy, to ensure fear, prejudice and misinformation are eradicated.

We encourage each delegate to take an active role in debate: ask questions, propose solutions, and above all, listen with respect. Every contribution, whether from a large or small nation, holds equal value in shaping a response that reflects fairness and global solidarity. We thank you for your commitment to global health and look forward to developing the thoughtful discussions and resolutions that will emerge from your work. If you have any questions, please contact us!

Mariana Padilla

mariana_padilla@eton.edu.mx

President of WHO

Nour Saffar

nour_saffar-rivas@eton.edu.mx

Vice President of WHO

Committee Overview

The World Health Organization (WHO), established in 1948, is a specialized agency of the United Nations dedicated to international public health. It coordinates medica research, disease control, and global responses to emerging health threats. Its successes in smallpox eradication, vaccine programs, and sanitation campaigns have solidified its credibility as the global voice of health policies.

In 1981, however, the world Health Organization is facing challenges unlike any before. The reports of unexplained immune system failure do not resemble any known infectious disease. The cases appear concentrated in certain communities, particularly homosexual men, sex workers, and intravenous drug users, yet the mechanism of spread remains unclear. Because of this uncertainty, WHO must manage not only scientific investigation but also an ethical and political crisis.

Public fear is growing. Some governments hesitate to acknowledge the illness at all, fearing it might associate their nations with immoral behavior. Others are quick to blame marginalized groups, further isolating those most affected. Who must walk a narrow path: promoting awareness without stigmatization, supporting research without moral panic, and maintaining unity among diverse member states.

This committee's discussions will therefore revolve around two interconnected challenges:

1. How to combat stigma and discrimination against affected communities, ensuring equal access to healthcare and respect for human rights.
2. How to design ethical, responsible communication and education campaigns in an environment limited knowledge and high social sensitivity

Recent Actions

Topic A:

Although the illness remains unnamed, the World Health Organization has already begun limited internal coordination in response to the alarming reports emerging throughout the year. In June

1981, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released a report describing rare pneumonia cases among young men in Los Angeles, which was shared informally with WHO headquarters. By July and August, WHO's Division of Communicable Diseases had begun quietly collecting similar case reports from France, the United Kingdom, and Haiti. No official statement was issued at this stage, as the Organization awaited confirmation on whether this pattern represented a new infectious disease. In September 1981, internal WHO correspondence emphasized the need to "avoid premature association of this syndrome with specific social groups," marking the first recorded attempt by a UN body to discourage stigmatizing language in the face of a health crisis. Toward the end of the year, WHO consulted experts in immunology, virology, and epidemiology from West Germany, France, and the United States; however, without a known pathogen, formal classification of the disease remained pending. These early actions reflected WHO's cautious approach—balancing scientific uncertainty with the urgent need to prevent fear and stigma from shaping the global response.

Topic B:

In mid-1981, WHO's Epidemiological Surveillance Division observed a concerning rise in unexplained immune disorders and issued internal memos emphasizing the importance of consistent and precise reporting language to prevent confusion and misinterpretation. By late 1981, several national health ministries had begun releasing public statements, though these efforts were largely uncoordinated. The French Ministry of Health issued warnings aimed at preventing public panic, while U.S. health authorities employed ambiguous terms such as "risk groups," reflecting uncertainty and caution in messaging. In response, WHO Headquarters began drafting internal discussion papers on the ethics of disease communication, acknowledging that the language used in public health advisories could inadvertently exacerbate social divisions and stigma. Simultaneously, the UN Secretariat reached out to WHO to propose inter-agency cooperation on developing responsible communication strategies for emerging health crises, highlighting that misinformation or inconsistent messaging could undermine both public trust and the credibility of the UN system. These early actions demonstrate WHO's recognition of the critical need for ethical, accurate, and coordinated communication in managing not only the medical aspects of the emerging illness but also its social and political implications.

ETON SCHOOL
MEXICO
A NORD ANGLIA EDUCATION SCHOOL

. . — . ✶ . — . .

Topic A: Combatting social stigma towards affected communities (LGBTQ+ population, sex workers, IV drug users)

Background of the Topic

From the earliest reports, the emerging illness has been intertwined with moral judgment. Newspapers in several countries have described it as a "gay cancer" or a disease of "immortality", while television broadcasters speak of "divine punishment." These narratives, while unsupported by evidence, resonate deeply in societies where homosexuality, sex work, or drug use are already criminalized or condemned.

The stigma is now shaping how governments, medical professionals, and the public respond to the disease. In many countries:

- Homosexuality remains illegal like: Kenya, Uganda, Zaire and several Caribbean nations.
- Sex work is heavily criminalized or socially condemned.
- Drug use is punished rather than treated as a public health issue.

Thus, people in the groups at most at risk are also those least likely to seek medical help. Fear of arrest, exposure, or violence drives many to avoid clinics entirely. In hospitals, patients suspected of belonging to "risk groups" have faced neglect, refusal of treatment, or breaches of confidentiality.

The WHO faces the challenge of defining a universal ethical standard that transcends national prejudices. Health care must be based on need, not on mortality. The WHO must guide governments toward policies rooted in compassion, not condemnation; even when cultural or religious values resist such approaches.

Context of the Problem Worldwide

In North America and Western Europe, the illness appears concentrated among urban gay communities, particularly in cities such as New York and San Francisco. Early gay rights movements, still fragile after

decades of discrimination, now face renewed backlash and fear. Across Europe, especially in France, the United Kingdom, and West Germany, similar clusters are emerging, often among travelers, migrants, and sex workers. In the Caribbean and Latin America, reports from Haiti, Brazil, and Mexico suggest possible local outbreaks, but political instability and limited healthcare infrastructure have hindered proper investigation. Rumors linking the illness to tourism or moral decline have sparked discrimination against entire populations, with Haitians abroad facing particular prejudice. In Africa, few cases have been officially reported, yet medical researchers note growing instances of unusual infections that may be related. Limited diagnostic capacity and cultural taboos surrounding sexuality make accurate surveillance nearly impossible, especially in regions where homosexuality remains criminalized. In Asia and the Middle East, public discussion of sexual health is highly restricted, and some governments hesitate to acknowledge the illness for fear of "corrupting public morality." The World Health Organization faces the challenge of communicating discreetly with health authorities in these regions without provoking political resistance. The global pattern reveals that stigma, and not solely the disease itself, has become the greatest obstacle to early containment and effective public health action.

Questions To Consider

1. How can WHO ensure that all individuals, regardless of sexuality, gender, or occupation, have equal access to medical care?
2. Should WHO publicly condemn discriminatory practices by member states, or work through quiet diplomacy?
3. How can WHO partner with local organizations that represent marginalized groups without appearing to endorse controversial lifestyles?
4. Should WHO advocate for the decriminalization of behaviors linked to higher risk, such as homosexuality or drug use, in the name of public health?
5. How can WHO protect the confidentiality of affected individuals in countries where disclosure could lead to persecution?

ETON SCHOOL
MEXICO
A NORD ANGLIA EDUCATION SCHOOL

Main Stakeholders

- Affected communities: LGBTQ+ populations, sex workers, and intravenous drug users, whose cooperation is vital for surveillance but who face extreme risk of discrimination.
- Governments: Many view the illness as a moral issue rather than a health concern; their reactions will shape the tone of global policies.
- Medical professionals: Must balance fear of cognition with their ethical duty to treat all patients equally.
- Media and public figures: Can either amplify stigma or help normalize compassion.
- WHO and the UN: Must serve as moral and scientific leaders, setting up a tone of neutrality and respect.

. . — . ✶ . — . .

Topic B: Ethical considerations in communication and education campaigns.

Background of the Topic

In 1981, mass media; radio, television, and newspapers, are the primary channels of public information. In the absence of clear scientific understanding, speculation dominates. Some outlets portray the illness as contagious through casual contact, leading to fear of patients. Others focus on its supposed association with homosexuality or drug use, framing it as a moral punishment rather than a medical issue.

This misinformation erodes public trust and undermines prevention efforts. People may either panic or dismiss the illness entirely, depending on which rumor they believe.

The World Health Organization must therefore define ethical principles for public communication during uncertainty. Should governments release incomplete information if it helps public awareness? Or should they wait until more is known, even if silence breeds rumors? Should WHO lead a global education

campaign about safe behaviors, even when those behaviors (like sexual activity or drug use) are taboo in many societies?

Ethical communication requires a delicate balance between scientific caution and public transparency. The goal is not only to inform but also to prevent discrimination and panic.

Context of the Problem Worldwide

In 1981, governmental responses to the illness varied widely. Some nations, including the United States of America and the French Republic, publicly acknowledged the disease but struggled with inconsistent messaging, while others, such as the Federative Republic of Brazil, Republic of Kenya, and the United Mexican States, avoided the topic entirely. In socialist states like the Union of Soviet Socialist Republic (USSR), and East Germany, discussions of homosexuality are considered politically subversive, rendering open communication nearly impossible. Public fear, fueled by uncertainty and stigma, spread more quickly than the illness itself, causing individuals to avoid hospitals and shun those perceived as belonging to "risk groups", while employers and landlords often discriminated against suspected individuals. Health educators faced difficult ethical decisions regarding whether to openly address sexual practices, drug use, or blood transfusions; subjects that remained highly sensitive across many societies. At the same time, journalists frequently prioritized sensational headlines over accuracy, and with no international standards for reporting health crises in place, misinformation proliferated. In this context, WHO faced the critical task of developing scientifically accurate, culturally sensitive educational materials and recommending voluntary ethical guidelines for media reporting to prevent panic, stigma, and discrimination, while promoting informed public health practices.

Questions To Consider

1. Should WHO promote complete transparency, even if the information is uncertain?
2. How can WHO ensure that communication does not stigmatize particular groups?
3. How should WHO respond if governments spread misinformation or suppress discussion?

ETON SCHOOL
MEXICO
A NORD ANGLIA EDUCATION SCHOOL

4. What ethical guidelines should govern future public health communications to avoid repeating these mistakes?

Main Stakeholders

- WHO Headquarters: Responsible for establishing a global framework for communication ethics.
- National health ministries: Must adapt WHP guidelines to their social and political contexts.
- Media organizations: Their reporting influences global perception and stigma.
- Educators and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs): Crucial for spreading health information at the community level.
- Affected individuals: Their privacy, dignity, and safety depend on responsible communication.

. . — . ✶ . — . .

Important Terms and Definitions

Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS): The disease later identified in 1981, characterized by severe immune system weakening, making individuals vulnerable to rare infections and cancers. At this time, the cause was unknown.

Antibody: A protein produced by the immune system that helps fight infections. Detection of specific antibodies would later become key in diagnosing HIV.

CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention): The U.S. federal agency responsible for monitoring public health and investigating outbreaks. In June 1981, CDC issued the first report of unusual pneumonia cases in Los Angeles.

Epidemiology: The study of how diseases spread within populations, including their patterns, causes, and effects.

HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus): The virus that causes AIDS. In 1981, the virus had not yet been identified, so WHO focused on symptoms and transmission patterns.

Immunodeficiency: A weakened or impaired immune system, which makes the body less able to fight infections.

Kaposi's Sarcoma (KS): A rare cancer affecting the skin and internal organs, observed in some of the first reported AIDS cases.

Opportunistic Infection: An infection caused by bacteria, viruses, or fungi that take advantage of a weakened immune system, often seen in AIDS patients.

Risk Groups: Early terminology used to describe populations initially observed to have higher rates of the illness, including gay men, intravenous drug users, and sex workers.

Stigma: Negative attitudes, discrimination, or prejudice toward individuals or groups, often based on association with a disease, behavior, or identity.

Surveillance: The systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of health data for planning, implementing, and evaluating public health measures.

Transmission: The way a disease spreads from person to person. In 1981, the exact modes of transmission for the new illness were not yet known.

Virology: The scientific study of viruses and how they infect living organisms.

WHO (World Health Organization): The United Nations specialized agency responsible for international public health. WHO coordinates research, provides guidance, and facilitates cooperation among Member States.

Misinformation: False or misleading information that can spread fear, stigma, or inappropriate health behaviors.

Ethical Communication: The practice of conveying information in ways that are accurate, transparent, culturally sensitive, and avoid causing harm or discrimination.

Social Determinants of Health: Factors like poverty, education, social norms, and legal systems that affect the health outcomes of populations.

Global Health: Health issues that transcend national boundaries and require international cooperation for prevention, treatment, and management.

Confidentiality: The practice of keeping personal health information private, which is particularly

important during early stages of an epidemic to protect vulnerable populations.

Members

1. Canada
2. Commonwealth of Australia
3. Kingdom of Belgium
4. Federative Republic of Brazil
5. Federal Republic of Germany (West Germany)
6. French Republic (Permanent)
7. German Democratic Republic (East Germany)
8. Japan
9. Kingdom of Denmark
10. Kingdom of Norway
11. Kingdom of Sweden
12. People's Republic of China (Permanent)
13. Republic of Haiti
14. Republic of Kenya
15. Republic of South Africa
16. Republic of Zaire
17. Swiss Confederation
18. The United Kingdom of Britain and Northern Ireland (Permanent)
19. Third Republic of Uganda
20. United Mexican States
21. United States of America (Permanent)
22. Union of Soviet Socialist Republic (Permanent)

ETON SCHOOL
MEXICO
A NORD ANGLIA EDUCATION SCHOOL

Sources and Further Reading

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (1981). *Pneumocystis Pneumonia — Los Angeles. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 30(21), 250–252. https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/50018?utm
2. CDC Museum. (n.d.). *The AIDS Epidemic in the United States, 1981-Early 1990s.* https://www.cdc.gov/museum/online/story-of-cdc/aids/index.
3. History.com Editors. (1981). *First scientific report on AIDS is published | June 5, 1981.* https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/june-5/first-scientific-report-on-aids-published-cdc?
4. AIDS United. (n.d.). *Timeline: Ending the Epidemic.* https://aidsunited.org/ending-the-epidemic/timeline/
5. Hymes, K. B., Cheung, T., Greene, J. B., Prose, N. S., Marcus, A., Ballard, H., … Laubenstein, L. J. (1981). *Kaposi's sarcoma in homosexual men — a report of eight cases.* Lancet. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(81)92740-9
6. PubMed. (1981). *Kaposi's Sarcoma in Japan / rare disease reports.* https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3839998/
7. CDC. (1982). *Epidemiologic Notes and Reports: Update on Kaposi's Sarcoma and Opportunistic Infections in Previously Healthy Persons — United States.* https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00001111.
8. CDC. (1981). *A Cluster of Kaposi's Sarcoma and Pneumocystis carinii Pneumonia among Homosexual Male Residents of Los Angeles and Orange Counties, California.* https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00001114
9. PubMed. (1981). *Characteristics of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) in Haiti.* https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6621622/
10. History of HIV. (n.d.). *1981: The first recognized cases and early reports.* https://www.historyofhiv.org/1981